

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 1739	
09/832,574	04/11/2001	David Alan Dage	197-1291CON		
75	590 03/14/2003				
John L. James			EXAMINER		
P. O. Box 2025		PENDLETON, BRIAN T			
Marietta, GA 30061-2025					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2644		
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No). —	Ap ant(s)				
		09/832,574		DAVID ALAN DAGE				
Office Action S	Examiner	-	Art Unit					
		Brian T. Pendle	ton	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL .	,	is action is non-						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are	rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are	objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are su	bject to restriction and/or	r election require	ement.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson Disclosure Statement	rawing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🗌	Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(state Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/832,574

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Simplicean et al. Simplicean et al disclose a speaker assembly comprising mounting plate 34 with an opening, driver 12 having cone 18, and rear tub 36.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simplicean et al in view of Farella. Simplicean et al disclose a speaker with a mounting plate, driver and rear tub. However, the reference does not teach an inductor connected in series with the driver and operating in the frequency range of 40 – 200 Hz. The driver of Simplicean et al is constructed for low frequency reproduction. As one of ordinary skill in the art would have known, there were several methods for limiting the range of a driver, including filtering using an inductor. Farella teaches such a method having inductor 9 leading to woofer 2 for low frequency sound output. It would have

Application/Control Number: 09/832,574

Art Unit: 2644

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use an inductor, per the teachings of Farella, in the invention of Simplicean et al to ensure low frequency audible output. Inductors were well known to faithfully isolate low frequencies. Per claim 3, one of ordinary skill in the art would have chosen an inductor value to match the frequency response of a dipole speaker without undue experimentation.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-8 and 14-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 21 is allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Both dependent claims 6 and 14 cite that the top portion of a rear panel of the tub is offset inward toward the mounting plate to have a lesser depth than a bottom portion of the tub to form a slot. Said feature relates to the slot formed to allow an electrical connector 26 to be coupled to the speaker in figure 2. Such a feature is not taught nor proposed in the prior art of record. Simplicean et al teach away from that limitation by having the wires 46 attach to the driver in the front of the speaker and not through a recessed slot in the back. Regarding claim 21: The specific structure of the test speaker having a mounting plate, driver, tub, and electrical connector is not novel. However, the use of it to determine the loss in a non-ideal vehicle speaker environment is not taught nor suggested in the prior art of record. Applicant's method, involving

Application/Control Number: 09/832,574

Art Unit: 2644

comparing the output of the test speaker with that of an optimized vehicle dipole speaker is not suggested in the references of record.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Blind et al, US Patent 5,996,727; Skrzycki, US Patent 4,853,966.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (703) 305-9509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Di 2. 200

Brian Tyrone Pendleton March 7, 2003

FORESTER W. ISEN
SUTERUSORY PATENT EXAMINED
SUTERUSORY PATENT (\$6.0)